



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/20/2001			
10/30/2001	James Alun Wynne Morgan	13384-002001	1385
12/12/2005		EXAMI	INER
		WHITEMAN	i, BRIAN A
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02110-2804		1635	
			WHITEMAN ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/889,874	MORGAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brian Whiteman	1635		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on <u>21 October 2005</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

required.		
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE TO The specification:  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not income an accordance of the specification:  B. New paragraph(s) should not be used.  C. Other	clude markings.	E NON-COMPLIANT:
<ul><li>2. Abstract:</li><li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet</li><li>B. Other</li></ul>	et. 37 CFR 1.72.	
<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly ide "Annotated Sheet" as required by</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting propos showing amended figures, without</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul>	y 37 CFR 1.121(d).	ated. Replacement drawings
C. Each claim has not been provided of each claim cannot be identified number by using one of the follow (Previously presented), (New), (N	ims is not present. lude the text of all pending claims (inclu d with the proper status identifier, and a d. Note: the status of every claim must wing status identifiers: (Original), (Curre Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawaper have not been presented in ascend	as such, the individual status to be indicated after its claim ently amended), (Canceled), wn-currently amended).

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf">http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf</a>.

## TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given **no new time period** if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the **entire corrected amendment** must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
- 2. Applicant is given **one month**, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the **corrected section** of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

<u>Extensions of time</u> are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) <u>only</u> if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

## Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action; or

**Non-entry** of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Dn I Men

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The status of claim 58 is incorrect because claim 58 was not previously presented. The status of claim 64 is incorrect because claim 64 was previously presented. Claim 58 was missing from the last set of claims and it appears that applicants have renumbered claim 59 as claim 58 and claim 60 as claim 59 and cancelled claim 60. Also it appears the applicants replaced claim 61 with claim 62 and renumbered claims 62-70 as 61-69. If this is the case then the amendment should reflect the changes with the correct markings.